organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Georgina M. Rosair,* Falk H. Schmidt and Alan J. Welch

Department of Chemistry, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, Scotland

Correspondence e-mail: g.m.rosair@hw.ac.uk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 160 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å H-atom completeness 98% Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.087 wR factor = 0.181 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Tetraphenylphosphonium 7-phenylethynyl-8-phenyl-7,8-*nido*-dicarbadecahydroundecaborate(1–)

Received 3 October 2001

Accepted 8 November 2001

Online 17 November 2001

In the title compound, $C_{24}H_{20}P^+ \cdot C_{16}H_{20}B_9^-$, the presence of the C_2 spacer of the phenylethynyl group reduces the intramolecular crowding between the two phenyl groups of the carborane-cage anion. The position of the B atom connected to both cage C atoms is disordered.

Comment

nido-Disubstituted carboranes have been synthesized with a variety of counter-ions to establish a pattern of inter- and intramolecular interactions. In the [HNEt₃]⁺, [Me₃NCH₂Ph]⁺ (Cowie et al., 1993) and $[Rh(dppe)_2]^+$ (Donohoe et al., 2001) salts of [7,8-Ph₂-7,8-nido-C₂B₉H₁₀]⁻, the phenyl substituents lie roughly orthogonal to the open C_2B_3 face of the cage. This conformation is quantified by the angle θ defined as the modulus of the average $C_{cage} - C_{cage} - C_{Ph} - C_{Ph}$ torsion angle (Cowie *et al.*, 1994). In the [HNEt₃]⁺ and [Rh(dppe)₂]⁺ salts, the phenyl-ring conformations are described by θ values of 5.6 (5), 10.0 (6) and 14.6 (5), 20.6 (6)°, respectively. Low θ values in this anion are necessary to avoid phenyl...phenyl crowding. However, in the title compound, (I) (two crystallographically independent molecules, data for primed molecule in square brackets; Figs. 1 and 2), whilst the cage-bound phenyl group has a relatively low θ value of 15.8 (7)° $[15.1 (7)^{\circ}]$, the phenyl group of the phenylethynyl substituent subtends a θ value of 57.6 (7)° [52.2 (7)°]. Evidently, the presence of the C₂ spacer between C7 and the C73-C78 ring reduces the intramolecular crowding between the two phenyl groups of any one anion and allows the resultant conformations.



In (I) the two crystallographically independent cages are disordered in that the B3, B12, B3' and B12' positions are partially occupied (60, 40, 70 and 30%, respectively, based on $U_{\rm iso}$ values). Such positional disorder may be fostered by the approximately spherical shape of the [PPh₄]⁺ cation.

© 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

The molecular structure of cage A of (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

Experimental

A 5 ml solution of PPh₄Br (60.12 mg, 143.38 µmol) in water was added slowly to K[7-CCPh-8-Ph-7,8-C₂B₉H₁₀] (50 mg, 143.38 µmol) dissolved in 10 ml water, producing a white precipitate. After filtration and washing with water, the white solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and dried over MgSO₄. Recrystallization from 333–353 K light petroleum/CH₂Cl₂ gave 48.8 mg (51.6%) of (I) as colourless crystals. ¹¹B {¹H} FT–NMR data for (I): (128.4 MHz, BF₃·Et₂O in CDCl₃): δ /p.p.m. = -32.5 (1B), -30.0 (1B), -17.4 (1B), -16.4 (1B), -14.4 (1B), -13.5 (1B), -8.6 (1B), -4.8 (2B). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX400 spectrometer at 293 K.

Crystal data

$C_{24}H_{20}P^+ \cdot C_{16}H_{20}B_9^-$	Z = 4
$M_r = 648.98$	$D_{\rm r} = 1.179 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 10.063 (2) Å	Cell parameters from 37
b = 19.007 (4) Å	reflections
c = 20.344 (4) Å	$\theta = 2.2 - 10.7^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 71.469(14)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 83.522 (16)^{\circ}$	T = 160 (2) K
$\gamma = 84235(16)^{\circ}$	Plate colourless
$V = 36571(13) Å^3$	$0.90 \times 0.30 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
V = 5057.1 (15) A	0.90 × 0.90 × 0.10 mm
Data collection	
Bruker P4 diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.092$
ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.9^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: ψ scan	$h = -1 \rightarrow 11$
(North <i>et al.</i> , 1968)	$k = -21 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.925, T_{\rm max} = 0.990$	$l = -23 \rightarrow 23$
14 197 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
11 336 independent reflections	every 97 reflections
4545 reflections with $L > 2\sigma(I)$	intensity decay: none
Refinement	
3	

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.087$ $wR(F^2) = 0.181$ S = 0.9711336 reflections 764 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0505P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.23 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$





The molecular structure of cage B of (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

B1-B2	1.769 (11)	B1'-B2'	1.762 (11)
B1-B3	1.655 (13)	B1′-B3′	1.701 (11)
B1-B4	1.771 (11)	B1'-B4'	1.753 (10)
B1-B5	1.776 (11)	B1'-B5'	1.761 (10)
B1-B6	1.802 (12)	B1'-B6'	1.784 (11)
B2-B3	1.663 (14)	B2'-B3'	1.681 (12)
B2-B6	1.750 (11)	B2′—B6′	1.764 (10)
B2-C7	1.681 (9)	B2′-C7′	1.687 (9)
B2-B11	1.799 (11)	B2'-B11'	1.773 (11)
B3-B4	1.707 (13)	B3'-B4'	1.729 (11)
B3-C7	1.713 (13)	B3'-C7'	1.697 (11)
B3-C8	1.750 (13)	B3'-C8'	1.754 (10)
B4-B5	1.743 (11)	B4′-B5′	1.757 (10)
B4-C8	1.685 (9)	B4′-C8′	1.695 (8)
B4-B9	1.777 (10)	B4′-B9′	1.802 (9)
B5-B6	1.805 (10)	B5'-B6'	1.791 (10)
B5-B9	1.742 (10)	B5'-B9'	1.752 (9)
B5-B10	1.795 (10)	B5'-B10'	1.783 (10)
B6-B10	1.756 (11)	B6'-B10'	1.751 (11)
B6-B11	1.729 (10)	B6'-B11'	1.754 (10)
C7-C8	1.600(7)	C7′-C8′	1.582 (7)
C7-B11	1.659 (8)	C7′-B11′	1.652 (8)
C7-B12	1.721 (18)	C7'-B12'	1.610 (6)
C8-B9	1.651 (8)	C8′-B9′	1.644 (8)
C8-B12	1.76 (2)	C8'-B12'	1.784 (6)
B9-B10	1.787 (10)	B9'-B10'	1.802 (9)
B9-B12	1.732 (18)	B9'-B12'	1.831 (7)
B10-B11	1.812 (10)	B10' - B11'	1.822 (9)
B10-B12	1.655 (19)	B10'-B12'	1.609 (8)
B11-B12	1.638 (18)	B11'-B12'	1.449 (8)
C7-C8-C81-C82	74.7 (7)	C7′-C8′-C81′-C82′	-106.5 (6)
C7-C8-C81-C86	-106.0(6)	C7' - C8' - C81' - C86'	76.2 (7)

The *exo*-cage H atoms were constrained to idealized positions (B-H1.12 Å) as were the phenyl H atoms (C-H0.95 Å). The *endo*-cage H atoms were not found in the difference map and not introduced, since their positions were masked by the disordered boron atoms B12 and B12'. The displacement parameter for B12' was refined isotropically to avoid a non-positive definite value. The U_{iso} parameters of the H atoms were defined as $1.2U_{iso}$ of the parent atoms. The phenyl rings were constrained to idealized hexagons

 $(C-C \ 1.390 \ \text{\AA}$ and $C-C-C \ 120^{\circ})$ in the $[PPh_4]^+$ cation and the cage-bound phenyl groups.

Data collection: *XSCANS* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *XSCANS*; data reduction: *XSCANS*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

FHS is a recipient of an ERASMUS exchange scholarship from the Philipps University, Marburg.

References

- Bruker (1998). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cowie, J., Donohoe, D. J., Douek, N. L. & Welch, A. J. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 710–714.
- Cowie, J., Reid, B. D, Watmough, J. M. S. & Welch, A. J. (1994). J. Organomet. Chem. 481, 283–293.
- Donohoe, D. J., Rosair, G. M. & Welch, A. J. (2001). In preparation.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1996). XSCANS. Version 2.2. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.